Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,404	SCHWAHN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) The receptionist at Keil and Weinkauf.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 October 2004	Time: approximately 10:45	<u>.</u>
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
	·	
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	VApplicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner inquired if applicant had abandoned the application or if an amendment had been filed. The reception took down the inventor's name, serial number and docket number and said that she would call the examiner back with an answer to the question. The examiner waited until 10/16/04 but did not receive a phone call back.